

Appl. No. 10/006,532
Amdt. dated October 29, 2003
Reply to Office Action of August 28, 2003

REMARKS

Claims 1-6, 8, 11-13 and 17 are allowed, and Claims 7, 9-10 and 14-16 are indicated as allowable if rewritten to overcome rejections under Section 112, second paragraph.

Claims 7, 9 and 14 have been amended to clarify that the "propellant charges" are the "propellant charges of said discrete propellant charges" and that the "projectile assemblies" are the projectile assemblies of "said pluralities of projectile assemblies." These are clarifications added at the request of the Examiner and not intended to limit the scope of the claims.

Claim 10 is amended to delete the term "thin" which the Examiner characterized as "a relative term".

In view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the rejection and allowance of all claims is respectfully requested.

If an extension of time is required to enable this document to be timely filed and there is no separate Request for Extension of Time, this document is to be construed as also constituting a Request for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) for a period of time sufficient to enable this document to be timely filed. Any fee required for such a Request for Extension of Time and any other fee required by this document pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 and not submitted herewith should be


Appl. No. 10/006,532
Amdt. dated October 29, 2003
Reply to Office Action of August 28, 2003

charged to the Deposit Account of the undersigned attorneys, Account No. 01-1785; any
refund should be credited to the same account. One copy of this document is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
Attorneys for Applicant
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 336-8000

Dated: New York, New York
October 29, 2003

By: 
Neal L. Rosenberg
Registration No.: 21,088